

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,360	07/22/2003	David W. Manning	7676	
31083	7590 03/28/2006	•	EXAMINER	
•	MAZOUR & NIEBER			
OMAHA, NI	O STREET, SUITE 1111 E 68124	l.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/624,360	MANNING ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Randall Chin	1744	

## Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--The Appeal Brief filed on 02 February 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. **EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.** The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). 3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). 5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 6. □ 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). 10.🛛 Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): In support of 4. above (under the section "SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER"), it appears the reference to page and line number for the "motor and voltage control" being at "(page 3, lines 21-22)" is incorrect. Further, the Examiner has never construed "a control connected to said DC motor for controlling operation thereof" as

means-plus-function. Also, there is no mention of any "equivalents thereof" in the specification. In support of 5. above (under the section "GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL"), all that is required is a CONCISE statement of each ground of rejection that is presented for review. The Examiner's rejection or portions thereof should not be included in this section and should be reserved for the "ARGUMENTS" section

> Randall Chin **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit: 1744